

WORLD DEVELOPMENT GROUP
INCORPORATED

Ratified Research Guidelines Directory (RRGD)
First edition (May 2010)

Name:	GPP2 guidelines: Good publication practice for communicating company sponsored medical research		
First published:	2003		
Most recent update:	November 2009		
Most recent version:	Unknown		
Organization:	International Society for Medical Publication Professionals (ISMPP)		
Organization type:	Professional Association		
Contact:	PO Box 2523 Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510 USA		
	Tel:	+1-914-945-0507	
	Fax:	+1-914-618-4453	
	E-mail:	ismpp@ismpp.org	
	http://www.ismpp.org/		
RRG Contact:	Name:	Chris Graf	
	Title:	GPP2 contact	
	Tel:	+1-914-945-0507	
	Fax:	+1-914-618-4453	
	E-mail:	chris.graf@wiley.com	
	http://www.ismpp.org/pdf/GPP2.pdf		
Purpose:	To help individuals and organizations to maintain ethical practices and comply with current requirements when they contribute to the communication of medical research sponsored by companies.		
Focus:	Publication		
Governance:	Steering committee. <i>No further information published.</i>		
Finance:	The ISMPP provided the contributors with meeting and teleconferencing facilities and web conferencing technology. <i>No further information published.</i>		

Methods:	The ISMPP invited members with over 10 years of experience in biomedical publishing to develop these guidelines. The 14 members named as contributors to this article responded to the invitation and formed the steering committee, which reviewed the original guidelines; 6 members discussed items to be included in the revised guidelines (GPP2), and wrote the draft guidelines. The steering committee recruited an international consultation panel by direct invitation and multiple open requests for volunteers. The draft guidelines were circulated for comment to the 193 people who agreed to be part of the consultation panel. The consultation process was conducted in confidence. The 116 sets of comments submitted were blinded and collated, and members of the steering committee assessed and ranked them on: 1) frequency of, 2) critical or beneficial rating, 3) steering committee members' interpretation of comments' importance. Ranked comments submitted by steering committee members were combined into a composite rank, which was used to create the final guidelines.
Updates:	Unknown
Maintenance:	Unknown
Feedback?	Yes
Feedback use:	Update guidelines
Evaluation?	Unknown
Verification:	Updated by WDG
Date of profile:	16-Jun-10